HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 11070 of 2006

DATED: 06.06.2006

Between:
B.Abdul Rasheed .....
PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, (Panchayat), Kurnool, Kurnool District.
2 The Electoral Registration Officer & Revenue Divisional Officer, Kurnool, Kurnool District.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kothapalli Mandal, Kurnool District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that the Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or direction declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not considering the representation dated 25.2.2006 made by the petitioner for inclusion of eligible voters and exclusion of bogus voters from the electoral roll of Musali Madugu Gram Panchayat as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the representation dated 25.2.2006 submitted by the petitioner and include the eligible persons and exclude the bogus voters from the electoral roll of Musali Madugu Gram Panchayat.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. P.Gangarami Reddy.

MR.K.Narsi Reddy.

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT.

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO.11070 of 2006

ORDER:

Heard the counsel.

2. The Writ Petition is filed praying for a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or direction declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not considering the representation dated 25.2.2006 made by the petitioner for inclusion of eligible voters and exclusion of bogus voters from the electoral roll of Musali Madugu Gram Panchayat as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the representation dated 25.2.2006 submitted by the petitioner and for such other suitable remedies.

3. It is no doubt stated that a general representation of this nature cannot be entertained and specific procedure may have to be followed. However, in the light of the grievance ventilated by the petitioner that his representation had not been disposed of though repeated requests had been made, it would be appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition with the following direction:

> "The 1st respondent is hereby directed to dispose of the representation dated 25.2.2006 submitted by the petitioner within a period of two (02) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

06.06.2006

SSR

Issue order copy in three days.

(BO)